

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,871	07/03/2001	Dean R. Shacklett	94-40187-US-DI-C	4121	
75	90 01/16/2003				
Louis M. Heidelberger, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Reed Smith, LLP 1 Liberty Place			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		
1650 Market St					
Philadelphia, P.	A 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	Δ	
				ä	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		,	(\1_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()				
٠	09/898,871	SHACKLETT ET	AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	IONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a soly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	aly. communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ The	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			he merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application							
, ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement						
Application Papers	or oroonon roquironioni.	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ d	disapproved by the Exami	ner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	its have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		al applicatio	n).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachment(s)	· •						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Informal Patent Application (P					

+_3

Application/Control Number: 09/898,871

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 1, the term 'selectively' is relative and therefore indefinite.
 - b. Claim 8 describes 'at least one fold line at least partially defining said panels' is indefinite. It is unclear how the fold line defines the panels.
 - c. Claims 14 and 21 describe a 'relief notch' but it is unclear what this 'relief notch' is and where it is located on the base label. The description of 'relief notch' is inadequate in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. as being unpatentable over Instance (U.S. 4,933,043).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,871

Art Unit: 1774

and the same

Instance discloses an adhesive label which has a base layer (18), an overlayer 5. (30) and layers of folded information between the base layer and overlayer (see figure 3) while showing a tab feature (40) (see figure 7). Instance additionally discloses a label for affixing to a container comprising a series of panels with folded lines and a region extending beyond the edge (column 1, lines 25-43) and further comprising a backing of release material (column 2, line 55). Instance discloses a label made of paper (column 4. lines 52-54). The reference discloses the cover comprising a transparent plastic polymeric film (column 5, lines 30-39) covering part of the front surface of the label (column 5.lines 53-58). Instance discloses tabs and the panel detaching and reattaching to the support web (column 6, lines 34-42). In claims 1 and 15, 'a label... suitable for being attached to a mounting surface' and 'suitable for coupling said base member to said mounting surface' adds no positive recitation to the claims. Additionally, in claims 1, 3, 9 and 15, 'at least one sheet forming said brochure' is a product by process claim limitation. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966. Instance does not explicitly disclose the same tab features as claimed (figure 5), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add tabs that project from the edge of the information layer(s) so that a reader can quickly flip to the relevant layer of information.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,871

Art Unit: 1774

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Instance (U.S. 4,592,572) is pertinent because it discloses a label comprising a folded panels that are affixed to a mounting surface (abstract and column 1, lines 1-6 and 45-60). Additionally, Instance (U.S. 5,174,605) is pertinent because it discloses a label composed of paper or plastics with a backing of release maerial and having a succession of covers partially covering the label surface (column 1, lines 25-68).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYTTIA M. KELLY
ST EXAMINER
LEAT EXAMINER
LEAT EXAMINER

Cyrth Vell